

SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 18 FEBRUARY 2013

CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS 9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 1 MARCH 2013

22 FEBRUARY 2013

Public Business

- O Denotes items that have been referred to Audit Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- ★ Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member (City Services) – 19th February, 2013

Report 4 Report back - Petition - Concerns about traffic movements around the Cambridge Street Mosque and the risks to young people

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Services) is recommended to approve on road safety grounds the advertisement of a no waiting restriction extending 19 m on the north side of Cambridge Street between the existing extent of the no waiting at any time restriction and the access to the Mosque. The restriction would operate Monday to Friday, 4.30pm to 7.30pm.

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 5 Report back - Petition - Removal of flowerbeds from Stockton Road

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Services) is recommended to approve that the chicanes are not removed at the current time, but that the demand for

parking on Stockton Road, Redcar Road and Hartlepool Road is kept under review.

The above recommendation was approved, along with the following additional recommendation:

The officers be requested to review the existing waiting restrictions in the vicinity of Stockton Road, Recar Road and Hartlepool Road and report any possible changes directly to the Cabinet Member and the local Ward Councillors.

Report 6 Report Back on Petition – Seashell Close Surface Water Drainage

Recommendation amended to read as follows

The Cabinet Member is recommended to:

Accept that the Council as Lead Local Flood Authority have reviewed the design standards, worked closely with the developer Bellway Homes, Jephson Housing Association, other private owners and the management company CJ Bigwood with a view to resolving the flooding issues in the vicinity of Seashell close and that officers report back after six months on the following issues identified during the meeting:

- i) To determine the level of the inlet to the catchpit and soakaway.
- ii) Request that the non-return valve is installed by the builder, Bellways Homes at 35 Seashell Close.
- iii) Request that the builder provide evidence of the discharge rate of the rear soakway.
- iv) Request the installation of a dipping point to allow for easy monitoring of water levels.

The above amended recommendation was approved.

Report 7 Outstanding Minutes

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (City Services) is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

The above recommendation was approved.

Cabinet Member (Policy, Leadership and Governance) – 21st February, 2013

Report 3 Webcasting Coventry City Council Meetings – Evaluation and Consultation

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member is recommended to approve Option 2 and continue to webcast live and archived meetings of full Council

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 4 Outstanding Minutes

Recommendations

The Cabinet Member (Policy, Leadership and Governance) is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 4a Department for Transport (DfT) Integrated Transport Block Consultation

Recommendations

The Cabinet member is recommended to:

- (1) object to all options put forward in the consultation and inform the DfT accordingly;
- (2) support Centro in the development of alternative formula for consideration by the DfT as their response to the consultation.

The above recommendations were approved.

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate UNLESS:-

- 1. it falls within paragraph 4.5.26 of the Scrutiny rules (part 4 of the Constitution) ie. it relates to:-
- (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
- (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
- (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
- (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
- (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
- (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
- (vii) decisions of the Audit Committee.
- (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
- 2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
- 3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
- 4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
- 5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.



Customer and Workforce Services
Council House
Earl Street
COVENTRY CV1 5RR